

BOSTON, MA 022102211

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/420,368	10/18/1999	GERARD FRANCIS MCGLINCHEY	T0461/7003	2702
	75	7590 09/01/2004		EXAMINER	
	STEVEN J HENRY C/O WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS PC			NGUYEN, HIEP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	FEDERAL RES	FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA			PAPER NUMBER
	600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			2816	-

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/420,368	MCGLINCHEY, GERARD FRANCIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hiep Nguyen	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 August 2004				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3,7-11,14,15 and 19-21 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,7-11,14,15 and 19-21 is/are rej 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination Papers	rawn from consideration. ected. d/or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 October 1999</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been received.	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	∆ □	(DTO 440)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Provided in Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/420,368

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

This is responsive to the amendment filed on 08-05-04. The finality of the previous Office Action has been withdrawn.

Drawings

Figures 5, 6 and 8 are objected to because the **reference designators are not**readable. Because of this reason, the disclosures in the specification cannot be correlated to the drawings. In order to have this application processed properly, the Applicant is requested to summit better drawings.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure "the termination elements are coupled to the mid-point termination voltage" in the specification, lines 15-18, page 7 is confusing. Assume that the termination elements are elements (53) and (54) in figure 5 or 6, it is not seen that that elements (53) and (54) are coupled to the mid-point termination voltage (Vmid). In fact, termination elements (53) and (54) are coupled to the outputs of the current source driver (52) and voltage source driver (51). Figure 6 shows the mid-point voltage (Vmid) but this mid-point voltage is not related to the termination elements (53) and (54) as disclosed. The Applicant is requested show in the drawing the termination elements that are coupled to the mid-point termination voltage". The disclosure "while the voltage source maintains the second pair of terminals of the termination network at a predetermined, non-zero potential. The predetermined, non-zero potential preferably comprises one-half of line driver circuit supply voltage" in page 8 is misleading. In figure 7, only the voltage (Vmid) is seen to be "biased" at one-half of line driver circuit supply voltage. There is no connection seen between the voltage (Vmid) and the voltage applied to the second pair of terminals of the termination network.

The disclosure "In one aspect of the invention, the switching means comprises a first input for enabling and disabling the first driving means and a second input for enabling and disabling the second driving means, wherein, when one of the first or second driving means

Application/Control Number: 09/420,368

Art Unit: 2816

is enabled, the other driving means is disabled" in page 6, lines 23-28 is misleading for the following reasons:

- 1. There is no switching means, "a first input" and "a second input" shown in the drawing (figures 5 or 6).
- 2. Regarding to figures 5 and 6, there is only one "Enable" signal applied to the first driving means (52). The second driving means (51) is always in the ON condition and has no enable/disable input.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the recitation "wherein the driver circuit operates to limit a voltage at the output to about one-half of the supply voltage" is not described in the specification.

Regarding claim 21, the recitation "limiting the **output voltage** to about **one-half of a** supply voltage for the driver circuit" is not described in the specification.

Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In this instance, the specification fails to explain how the voltage source maintains the second pair of terminals of

Application/Control Number: 09/420,368

Art Unit: 2816

the termination network at predetermined, non-zero potential comprising one-half of line driver circuit supply voltage.

Claims 2, 3, 7, 14, 15, 19 and 20 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because of the technical deficiencies of claims 1 and 11.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 7-11, 14, 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction and/or clarification is required.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, the recitation "at least one control signal for selecting between the first and second driving means" is indefinite because it is misdescriptive. Figures 5, 6 and 6A of the present application show that there is only one "Enable" signal that is applied to the first driving means (52) for enabling or disabling it. The second driving means (51) has no control signal applied to thus, the second driving means cannot be not selected. The same analysis is true for claim 2.

Regarding claim 3, the recitation "a **logic control input** signal for enabling and disabling the **first driving means**; and a **logical complement** of the logic control signal for enabling and disabling the **second driving means**" is indefinite because it is misdescritive. Figure 5 or 6 or 6A shows **only one** control signal "Enable" applied to the first driving device (51). There is no "a logic **complement** of the logic control signal" shown.

Regarding claim 8, the recitation "at least one control signal for selecting between the bridge current driver and the voltage driver, such that, when the bridge current driver is selected, the terminating elements are coupled to the mid-point termination voltage and the lines are driven from the bridge current driver; and when the voltage driver is selected, the bridge current driver is disabled and the terminating elements are coupled to the voltage driver" is indefinite because it is misdescriptive for the following reasons:

1. Only the bridge current driver (52) is enabled/disabled.

Application/Control Number: 09/420,368 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

2. The voltage driver (51) cannot be selected because there is no selecting signal applied to the voltage driver.

3. The terminating elements (53) and (54) are connected to the **outputs** of the element (52) or (51), not to the voltage (Vmid) as recited.

Regarding claim 11, the recitation "wherein the line driver circuit...one-half of the line driver supply voltage" on lines 5-10 is indefinite because it is misdescriptive. There is no first and second mode of operations because figure 5 or 6 shows that the voltage source (51) is constantly "ON". No control signal is seen applied to the voltage source (51). It is not clear what the "non-zero potential" is meant by and how the voltage source (51) can maintain the "second pair of terminals of the termination network" at the non-zero potential which is one half of the line driver circuit voltage.

Regarding claim 14 the recitation "wherein the first mode of operation comprises voltage source drive mode" is indefinite because it is misdescriptive. As mention above and according to figure 5 or 6, the voltage source (51) cannot be selected because the voltage source (51) receives no mode control signal.

Claim 15 is indefinite because it is misdescriptive. The voltage source (51) is always activated during the "first and second modes". The recitation "the first configuration" lacks antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 21, the recitations "the first mode of operation" and "the second mode of operation" are indefinite because it is not clear how the two modes of operation can be selected. The recitation "limiting the **output voltage** to about **one-half of a supply voltage** for the driver circuit" is indefinite because it is not clear how the output voltage can be limited to about one-half of a supply voltage as recited.

Claims 7, 9, 10, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because of the technical deficiencies of claims 1, 8 and 11.

Response to Arguments

In the Remarks, page 8, the Applicant argues that "There, it is very clear from Figs. 6A and 6B that the **terminating elements are coupled to the mid-point termination voltage**. This was described in detail in response to the Office Action of August 11, 2003". This

Art Unit: 2816

argument is not relevant because according to figures 5 or 6, the termination elements (53) and (54) are connected to the **outputs** of the voltage source (51) and the current source (52) and it is not seen that the termination elements are coupled to the mid-point termination voltage (Vmid in figure 6). The response to the Office Action of August 11, 2003 and the specification fail to explain how termination elements (53), (54) can be coupled to the mid-point termination voltage (Vmid).

Page 6

Conclusion

In view of the significant 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph indefiniteness issues noted above, no prior art could be applied by the examiner at this time since the scope and meaning of the claims cannot be determined. This is not an indication of allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hiep Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hiep Nguyen

08-26-04

TUAN T. LAM
PRIMARY EXAMINE